# **ATTENDEES**

**Board of Canvassers** 

Name Positi	on Party
Mary Lou Green May M Muchair	REP
Mary Costello Mary Castelly Vice C	hair DEM
Lawrence Hill Luvus Secret	REP
Shirley McDonald Shirley Menternly	DEM DEM
William Woodcock	REP
Daniel Loftus Board	Attorney

LBE Staff (in person)

Name	Position	
Barbara Wagner	Election Director	
Anthony Gutierrez	Deputy Director	
Marc Welch	Voting Operations Manager	
Theresa Church	Scribe	
Khalfani Blount	Election Program Assistant	

# Public

Name	Organization (if applicable)
Tom Slater	Democratic Central Committee
Robert Van Rens	Not listed
Kris Fair	Friends of Kris Fair
Winston Mann	Self
Allisa Mason	Wes Moore for Maryland
Diane Fink	Emerge
Ric Dugan	Frederick News-Post
Deb Reynolds	Democratic Central Committee
Jack Hogan	Frederick News-Post
Connie Onspaugh	Self
Deborah Carter	Democratic Central Committee
Sadie Kowalski	Wes Moore for Governor
Cheryl Dapsauski	Self
M. C. Keegan-Ayers	Self
Stuart Harvey	Self

All canvassing teams, duplicating team members, and ballot scanner operating team members in attendance are listed under the Canvass Participants section below.

# **DECLARATION OF QUORUM PRESENT**

The meeting of the Board of Canvassers began at 10:00 am on Thursday, July 21, 2022 at Board of Elections office, 340A Montevue Lane, Frederick, Maryland, Conference Room. There was a quorum of the Board of Canvassers, and at least one member of the Board of Canvassers who is a registered voter of the principal minority party was present.

#### **CONFIRMATION OF OATH**

Sandra Dalton, the Clerk of the Court for Frederick County, administered and recorded the oath of each member of the Board of Canvassers on June 22-June 26, 2022.

# ANNOUNCEMENT OF OFFICERS

At a meeting on July 5, the Board of Canvassers elected Mary Lou Green as Chair of the Board of Canvassers, Mary Costello as Vice Chair, and Lawrence Hill as Secretary.

#### PUBLIC NOTICE OF CANVASS

Election Director Barbara Wagner noted that public notice of the mail-in ballot canvass was provided and that the notice was provided on Board website and through social media.

# VERIFICATION OF OPTICAL SCAN VOTING UNIT

Election Director Wagner presented documents to the Board of Canvassers showing that the ballot scanner being used in the canvass successfully passed Logic and Accuracy Testing. Wagner reported that the memory card created for this canvass was placed in the ballot scanner and sealed. She provided the Board of Canvassers with the results from the Logic and Accuracy Testing. Wagner noted the Ballot Scanner Serial Number and Seal Numbers from the Logic and Accuracy Testing documentation for the DS850 Scanner which was used in the canvass.

Voting Operations Manager Marc Welch and Voting Systems Team Lead Robert Millard verified that the seal on the DS850 Scanner used in the canvass was intact and recorded the optical scan ballot scanner's serial number and seal number on the Scanning Unit Opening Integrity Report. Election Director Wagner noted that the ballot scanner's serial number and seal number from Logic and Accuracy Testing matched the ballot scanner's serial number and seal number before the canvass began.

The Board of Canvassers confirmed that the count on the ballot scanner was zero. Election Director Wagner posted one copy of the Zero Report from the ballot scanner on wall in room where canvassing occurred. A second copy of the Zero Report remained attached to the ballot scanner. The Board of Canvassers signed the Zero Report attached to the ballot scanner.

### CANVASSING OF BALLOTS

# Overview of Canvass Process

Election Director Wagner explained the rules concerning public observation of the canvass. Election Director Wagner provided an overview of the canvassing process and noted that the rules were posted in the canvass room. Ballots are distributed to the ballot processing canvassing teams in batches. Each canvassing team reviews the envelope first to determine whether it was timely received and oaths were signed.

For timely received ballots, each canvassing team determines whether the voter signed the oath. After verifying that the oath is signed, each canvassing team opens the envelopes. With the envelope face down, each canvassing team removes the ballot, ensuring that the envelope stays face down. After removing all of the ballots in the batch, the ballot envelopes are set aside. Each canvassing team reviews the ballots for compliance and tabulating acceptability.

Ballots that were marked using the online ballot marking wizard are duplicated and verified by a bi-partisan ballot duplication team. The election official responsible for ballot duplication uses a barcode reader to read the barcode printed on the voter's ballot, prints a ballot that will have the voter's selections marked, and refers the two ballots to a ballot verification team. The ballot verification team compares the two ballots to ensure that the ballot printed during the canvass matches the ballot returned by the voter.

Ballots that are untimely or are otherwise not in compliance are placed in a plain envelope, with the canvassing team's number, or other information identifying the canvassing team to which the ballot belongs, and the reason(s) for the referral written on the envelope. The envelope with the ballots inside is referred to the Board of Canvassers for later review.

Those timely ballots that are in compliance and can be read by the ballot scanner are referred to the Board of Canvassers for acceptance. All other ballots are placed in a plain envelope, with the team number, ballot style, and the reason(s) for the referral written on the envelope. The envelope with the ballots inside is referred to the Board of Canvassers.

The Board of Canvassers rules on whether to accept or unanimously reject the referred ballots.

Election Director Wagner explained the process to provide information to the Board of Canvassers or challenge a decision of the Board.

# Canvass Participants

Canvasser	Names of Ballot Processing Canvassing Teams
Team #1	Team one reassigned as Duplication Team 2
Team #2	Cynthia Mason (DEM); Patrice Oliver (REP)
Team #3	Tiffany Mosby-Grant (DEM); Richard "Mike" Watterson (UNA)
Team #4	James Gibson (DEM); Mary Ann Phillips (REP)

Team #5	Michael Leiter (DEM); Stephanie McGovern (REP)
Team #6	Charlotte Meierhoefer (DEM); Kathy May (REP)
Team #7	Faye Glover (UNA); Gail Boggs (REP)
Team #8	Sherri Mayer (DEM); Helen Dickens (UNA)
Team #9	Michael Rusk (UNA); Sharon Hane (REP)
Team #10	Olubunmi TiJesu (UNA); Margaret Osmond (REP)
Team #11	Dale Wolf (DEM); Patricia Cook (REP)
Team	Names of Bi-Partisan Team Members Opening Envelopes
Team #1	Nancy Harmon (UNA); Denise Wright (REP)

Team	Names of Bi-Partisan Duplicating Team Members
Team #1	Laura Densock (DEM); Melanie Swank (REP)
Team #2	James Douglas Pierce (DEM); Rhonda Ferrell (REP)

Team	Names of Ballot Scanner Operating Team
Team #1	Robert Millard, Russell Schantz, Joe Sim of ES&S Support

# Canvassing of Ballots

Ms. Green made a motion to accept and approve the opening and tabulation of the ballots. Ms. Costello seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

# DAILY CANVASSING RESULTS

Mail-In Ballot Canvass Statistics	Number of Ballots
Total Ballots Presented in Mail-in Ballot Canvass 1	8838
Accepted Ballots on Mail-in Ballot Canvass Day 1	2473
Rejected Ballots Mail-in Ballot Canvass Day 1	2

# RECESS

The canvass recessed at 5:30 pm and will reconvene on July 22 at 9 am. Voting Systems Team Lead Millard recorded the public count, shut down the optical scan ballot scanner, and affixed a seal.

# **BOARD OF CANVASSERS' REVIEW DECISIONS**

- 11:31a Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked.
- 2:07p Ballot was rejected due to voter's death which happened after mail-in ballot was requested. The ballot was returned blank by a relative.
- 2:14p Ballot was accepted as marked. A message was written on the envelope "Know none of these" and the ballot was blank.

- 2:16p Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. It was reviewed due to a stray mark.
- 2:22p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Bravo. Voter erased mark for Bravo, and board determined voter's intent was not to vote for that candidate.
- 2:23p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Bravo. Voter put x over mark for Bravo, and board determined voter's intent was not to vote for that candidate.
- 2:41p Ballot was accepted for duplication without Register of Wills or Board of Education contests. Board determined the voter's intent was to vote the contests on the front with clear definitive marks. The marks on the back of the ballot were only light dots within bubbles. Directions on ballot direct voters to fully mark in bubbles.
- 2:48p Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Envelope glue stuck to ballot and damaged ballot, but board determined voter's intent was clear.
- 2:49p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Seagel. Board determined that stray mark on Seagel was not intentional.
- 2:51p Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Board reviewed due to stray marks that board determined was not intended as vote.
- 2:59p Ballot was accepted for duplication without votes for D. Rose and Yoho. Board reviewed due to incomplete marks that board determined were not intended as votes.
- 3:02p Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Board reviewed due to questionable marks that board determined were intentional votes.
- 3:19p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Hagen. Board determined the dot was not an intentional vote as the mark for opposing candidate was clear.
- 3:23p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Hagen. Board determined the dot was not an intentional vote as the mark for opposing candidate was clear.
- 3:26p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Joannides. Board determined the dot was not an intentional vote.
- 3:27p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Brooks. Board determined the stray mark was not an intentional vote.
- 3:31p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Smith. Board determined the stray mark was not an intentional vote.

- 3:33p Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Web-delivered ballot showed master ballot style rather than precinct level ballot style. It was determined the ballot style was correct.
- 3:35p Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked including overvote for Comptroller.
- 3:43p Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked including overvote for Board of Education. Ballot was damaged, but board determined that intention was clear.
- 4:01p Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Marks were light but board determined that intention was clear.
- 4:09p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Barrett. Board determined the stray mark was not an intentional vote.
- 4:12p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for O'Malley. Board determined the x over the mark was indication of voter's intent.
- 4:31p Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Board determined the light marks were indication of voter's intent.
- 4:46p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Baker. Board determined the x over the mark was indication of voter's intent.
- 4:49p Ballot was accepted as marked.
- 4:50p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Baker. Board determined the x over the mark was indication of voter's intent.
- 4:57p Ballot was rejected due to identifying mark. Voter wrote own name on ballot beside Vacant for House of Delegates contest.
- 5:05p Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Board determined stray mark was not an intentional vote.
- 5:07p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Miller. Board determined stray mark was not an intentional vote.

#### **ATTENDEES**

### Board of Canvassers:

Name	Position	Party	
Mary Lou Green	Chair	REP	
Mary Costello	Vice Chair	DEM	
Lawrence Hill	Secretary	REP	
Shirley McDonald	Member	DEM	
William Woodcock	Member	REP	
Daniel Loftus	Board Attorney		

LBE Staff (in person):

Name	Position	
Barbara Wagner	Election Director	
Anthony Gutierrez	Deputy Director	
Marc Welch	Voting Operations Manager	
Theresa Church	Scribe	
Khalfani Blount	Election Program Assistant	

# Public

Name Organization (if applicable)	
Devon Lawrence	Wes Moore for Maryland
Robert Jar Ross	Frederick County Democratic Central Committee
Daniel Sagrera	Perez for Maryland
Kris Uls	Perez for Maryland
Winston Mann	Self
Tom Slater	Democratic Central Committee
Deborah Carter	Democratic Central Committee
M. C. Keegan-Ayer	Self
Kris Fair	Friends of Kris Fair

All canvassing teams, duplicating team members, and ballot scanner operating team members in attendance are listed under the Canvass Participants section below.

# RECONVENING THE MEETING

The mail-in ballot canvass reconvened on July 22 at 9:00 am. A quorum of the Board of Canvassers was present.

# PUBLIC NOTICE OF CANVASS and VERIFICATION OF OPTICAL SCAN VOTING UNIT(S)

Election Director Wagner explained the rules concerning public observation of canvass and canvassing process. Voting Operations Manager Marc Welch and Voting Systems Team Lead Robert Millard verified that the seal on the DS850 Scanner used in the canvass was intact and

that the seal number matched the seal number attached and that the public count matched the public count recorded before the prior day's canvass recessed.

# **CANVASSING OF BALLOTS**

# Canvass Participants

Canvasser	Names of Ballot Processing Canvassing Teams
Team #1	Team one reassigned as Duplication Team 2
Team #2	Cynthia Mason (DEM); Patrice Oliver (REP)
Team #3	Michael Leiter (DEM); Stephanie McGovern (REP)
Team #4	James Gibson (DEM); Mary Ann Phillips (REP)
Team #5	Tiffany Mosby-Grant (DEM); Michael FerrelL (REP)
Team #6	Charlotte Meierhoefer (DEM); Kathy May (REP)
Team #7	Faye Glover (UNA); Gail Boggs (REP)
Team #8	Sherri Mayer (DEM); Helen Dickens (UNA)
Team #9	Michael Rusk (UNA); Sharon Hane (REP)
Team #10	Olubunmi TiJesu (UNA); Denise Wright (REP)
Team #11	Dale Wolf (DEM); Patricia Cook (REP)

Team	m Names of Bi-Partisan Team Members Opening Envelopes	
Team #1	Nancy Harmon (UNA); Margaret Osmond (REP)	

Team	Names of Bi-Partisan Duplicating Team Members	
Team #1	Lillian Densock (DEM); Melanie Swank (REP)	
Team #2	James Douglas Pierce (DEM); Rhonda Ferrell (REP)	

Team	am Names of Ballot Scanner Operating Team	
Team #1	Robert Millard; Russ Schantz; Joe Sim (ES&S Support)	

Two new canvassers, Lillian Densock (DEM) and Michael Ferrell (REP), replaced Laura Densock (DEM) and Richard "Mike" Watterson (UNA). Denise Wright (REP) and Margaret Osmond (REP) exchanged positions between opening and ballot processing.

Canvassing of ballots resumed. The Board of Canvassers ruled on whether to accept or reject any ballots that were referred to the Board.

# DAILY CANVASSING RESULTS

Mail in Ballot Canvass Statistics	Number of Ballots
Total Ballots Presented in Mail-in Ballot Canvass 1	8,838
Accepted Ballots in Mail-in Ballot Canvass Day 2	5,773
Rejected Ballots in Mail-in Ballot Canvass Day 2	6

#### RECESS

The canvass recessed at 5:00p and will reconvene on July 23 at 9:00 am. Voting Operations Manager Welch and Voting Systems Team Lead Millard recorded the public count, turned the DS850 Scanner used in the canvass off, and affixed a seal.

# BOARD OF CANVASSERS' REVIEW DECISIONS

- 9:05a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Baker. Board determined that stray mark was not intentional vote.
- 9:10a Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Scanning unit rejected due to stray marks that did not indicate a vote.
- 9:12a Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Scanning unit rejected due to stray marks that did not indicate a vote.
- 9:30a Ballot was rejected. Ballot was sent to cure missing signature.
- 9:34a Duplicate as marked. Board determined marks were voter's intent.
- 9:41a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for a candidate. Voter put x over mark, and board determined voter's intent was to not vote for that candidate.
- 9:49a Ballot accepted as marked. Ballot style was determined to be correct.
- 9:50a Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Ballot was reviewed because of light marks.
- 10:01a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Baker. Voter put x over mark for Baker, and board determined voter's intent was to not vote for that candidate.
- 10:07a Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked.
- 10:35a Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Ballot was reviewed due to coffee stain.

- 10:36a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Adams. Board determined dot was not an intentional vote.
- 10:37a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Diggs. Board determined dot was not an intentional vote.
- 10:38a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Brown. Board determined dot was not an intentional vote.
- 10:39a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Knapp. Board determined dot was not an intentional vote.
- 10:35a Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Ballot was reviewed due to stray mark.
- 10:40a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Barrett. Board determined dot was not an intentional vote.
- 10:41a Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked including mark for Franchot. Board determined mark was voter's intent.
- 10:42a Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked including mark for Reynolds. Scanner rejected due to incomplete mark. Board determined voter's intent was to vote for that candidate.
- 10:43a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for D. Rose. Voter put x over mark for D. Rose, and board determined voter's intent was not to vote for that candidate.
- 10:50a Ballot was rejected due to identifying marks. Voter wrote in own name on ballot.
- 10:53a Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Scanning unit rejected ballot due to light marks.
- 10:53a Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Scanning unit rejected due to pencil marks.
- 11:19a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Tarantin. Voter put x over mark, and board determined voter's intent was to not vote for that candidate.
- 11:20a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Brown. Voter put x over mark, and board determined voter's intent was not to vote for that candidate.
- 11:21a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for B Young. Board determined dot was not an intentional vote.

- 11:21a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Baker. Voter put x over mark, and board determined voter's intent was not to vote for that candidate.
- 11:22a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Black. Voter put x over mark, and board determined voter's intent was not to vote for that candidate.
- 11:39a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Hagen. Voter used whiteout over mark, and board determined voter's intent was not to vote for that candidate.
- 11:40a Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Ballot was reviewed due to stray marks.
- 11:42a Ballot was rejected due to identifying marks. Voter signed name on ballot.
- 11:44a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Angolia. Voter scratched out mark, and board determined voter's intent was to not vote for that candidate.
- 11:45a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Angolia, Joannides, and C. Rose. Voter scratched out names and did not mark the bubbles, and board determined voter's intent was to not vote for those candidates.
- 11:48a Envelope did not have ballot.
- 11:49a Ballot was rejected due to identifying marks. Voter signed name on ballot. Voter also wrote on envelope protesting signing oath on envelope.
- 11:53a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Chang. Board determined dot was not an intentional vote.
- 1:05p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Bruno and Brooks. Board determined unclear marks were not an intentional vote.
- 1:08p Ballot was accepted for duplication without Comptroller's contest. The Comptroller contest was sliced off the ballot.
- 1:30p Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Ballot was rejected by scanning unit due to bad timing marks.
- 1:35p Ballot was accepted for duplication without Moore. Voter used whiteout on mark, and board determined voter's intent was to not vote for that candidate.
- 1:45p Ballot was accepted for duplication without Moore. Voter crossed out mark, and board determined voter's intent was not to vote for that candidate.

1:50pBallot was accepted for duplication without one of the governor candidates. Voter put x over mark, and board determined voter's intent was to not vote for that candidate. 2:23p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Angolia. Board determined dot was not an intentional vote. 2:24p Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. There was a highlighter mark over the ink mark. 2:36p Ballot was accepted for duplication with vote for Perez. Voter marked out multiple marks, and board determined voter's intent was to not vote for those candidates. 2:37pBallot was accepted for duplication as marked. Stray mark did not affect vote. 2:40p Ballot was accepted for duplication including vote for Van Rens. Marks became progressively lighter, but board determined voter did intend to vote for Van Rens. 2:23p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Smith. Board determined dot was not an intentional vote. 2:56p Envelope did not have ballot. 2:58p Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Fair. Board determined dot was not an intentional vote. 3:02pBallot was accepted for duplication without Baker and Segal. Voter placed x over marks, and board determined voter's intent was to not vote for those candidates. 3:04p Ballot was accepted for duplication with vote for Perez. Voter marked out multiple marks, and board determined voter's intent was to not vote for those candidates. 3:10p Ballot was accepted for duplication with vote for Summers. Board determined voter's intent was to vote for this candidate. 3:15p Ballot was accepted for duplication without C Rose. Voter placed x over marks, and board determined voter's intent was to not vote for this candidate. 3:18p Ballot was accepted for duplication without Parrot. Voter placed x over mark, and board determined voter's intent was to not vote for this candidate.

Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Ballot was rejected by scanning unit

due to marks outside bubbles.

3:29p

3:50p Ballot was accepted for duplication including Yoho. Glue from envelope stuck to ballot but mark was not affected and board determined voter intended to vote for Yoho. 3:54p Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked including all Republican Central Committee. 3:55p Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Ballot was rejected by scanning unit due to marks outside bubbles. 3:56p Envelope was accepted with signature. Printed name qualifies as signature. 3:57pBallot was accepted for duplication as marked. Edge of ballot was damaged. Ballot was accepted for duplication as marked. Edge of ballot was damaged. 3:58p 4:01p Ballot was rejected. Ballot was for 2021 City of Frederick election. 4:04p Ballot was rejected. Ballot was sent to cure missing signature. 4:06p Ballot was accepted for duplication without Boffman. Voter placed line over mark, and board determined voter's intent was to not vote for this candidate.

# **ATTENDEES**

Board of Canvassers:

Name	Position	Party	
Mary Lou Green	Chair	REP	
Mary Costello	Vice Chair	DEM	
Lawrence Hill	Secretary	REP	
Shirley McDonald	Member	DEM	
William Woodcock	Member	REP	
Daniel Loftus	Board Attorney		

LBE Staff (in person):

Name	Position	
Barbara Wagner	Election Director	
Anthony Gutierrez	Deputy Director	
Marc Welch	Voting Operations Manager	
Theresa Church	Scribe	
Khalfani Blount	Election Program Assistant	
Thomas Cooley	Election Program Manager	

# Public

Name	Organization (if applicable)	
Winston Mann	Self	
Deborah Carter	Democratic Central Committee	
Karen Simpson	Self	
M. C. Keegan-Ayer	Self	
Mary Lee	Democratic Central Committee	

All canvassing teams, duplicating team members, and ballot scanner operating team members in attendance are listed under the Canvass Participants section below.

# RECONVENING THE MEETING

The mail-in ballot canvass reconvened on July 23 at 9:00 am. A quorum of the Board of Canvassers was present.

# PUBLIC NOTICE OF CANVASS and VERIFICATION OF OPTICAL SCAN VOTING UNIT

Election Director Wagner explained the rules concerning public observation of canvass and canvassing process. Voting Operations Manager Marc Welch and Voting Systems Team Lead Robert Millard verified that the seal on the DS850 Scanner used in the canvass was intact and that the seal number matched the seal number attached and that the public count matched the public count recorded before the prior day's canvass recessed.

# **CANVASSING OF BALLOTS**

# Canvass Participants

Canvasser	Names of Ballot Processing Canvassing Teams
Team #1	Lillian Densock (DEM); Melanie Swank (REP)
Team #2	Cynthia Mason (DEM); Roberta Coccagna (REP)
Team #3	Michael Leiter (DEM); Gail Boggs (REP)
Team #4	James Gibson (DEM); Mary Ann Phillips (REP)
Team #5	Tiffany Mosby-Grant (DEM); Michael Ferrell (REP)
Team #6	Charlotte Meierhoefer (DEM); Kathy May (REP)
Team #7	Patricia Rhodes (DEM); Faye Glover (UNA)
Team #8	Sherri Mayer (DEM); Helen Dickens (UNA)
Team #9	Michael Rusk (UNA); Sharon Hane (REP)
Team #10	Olubunmi TiJesu (UNA); Margaret Osmond (REP)
Team #11	Dale Wolf (DEM); Patricia Cook (REP)
Team #12	Shasita Paudel (DEM); Denise Wright (REP)

Team	Names of Bi-Partisan Team Members Opening Envelopes		
Team #1	Nancy Harmon (UNA); Wilmer Gutierrez (DEM)		

Team Names of Ballot Scanner Operating Team	
Team #1	Robert Millard; Russ Schantz; Joe Sim (ES&S Support)

Four new canvassers, Patricia Rhodes (DEM), Brenda Bell (DEM), Shasita Paudel (DEM), and Wilmer Gutierrez (DEM) replaced James Douglas Pierce (DEM), Patrice Oliver (REP), Stephanie McGovern (REP), and Patricia Cook (REP). Several team members switched teams to balance party affiliation. Canvassing of ballots resumed. The Board of Canvassers ruled on whether to accept or reject any ballots that were referred to the Board.

# DAILY CANVASSING RESULTS

Mail in Ballot Canvass Statistics	Number of Ballots
Total Ballots Presented in Mail-in Ballot Canvass 1	8,838
Accepted Ballots in Mail-in Ballot Canvass Day 3	583
Rejected Ballots in Mail-in Ballot Canvass Day 3	1

### RECESS

The canvass recessed at 5:00 pm and will reconvene on July 24 at 9:00 am. Voting Operations Manager Welch and Voting Systems Team Lead Millard\_recorded the public count, turned the DS850 Scanner used in the canvass off, and affixed a seal.

# BOARD OF CANVASSERS' REVIEW DECISIONS

- 9:49a Ballot was accepted as marked. Voter signed and placed ballot in envelope with another voter's name (spouse). After research it was determined that voter did vote correct ballot style, signed an internal sheet and other voter (spouse) did not return ballot.
- 9:50a Ballot was accepted as marked. Voter signed and placed ballot in envelope with another voter's name. After research it was determined that voter did vote correct ballot style and other voter did not return ballot.

10:55a Ballot was rejected. Missing oath was sent to be cured.

#### ATTENDEES:

#### **Board of Canvassers**

Name	Position	Party	
Mary Lou Green	Chair	REP	
Mary Costello	Vice Chair	DEM	
Lawrence Hill	Secretary	REP	
Shirley McDonald	Member	DEM	
William Woodcock	Member	REP	
Daniel Loftus	Board Attorney		

LBE Staff (in person):

Name	Position	
Barbara Wagner	Election Director	
Anthony Gutierrez	Deputy Director	
Marc Welch	Voting Operations Manager	
Theresa Church	Scribe	
Khalfani Blount	Election Program Assistant II	
Thomas Coogan	Election Program Manager I	

#### Public

Name	Organization (if applicable)
Patrice Oliver	Self
Winston Mann	Self
M.C. Keegan-Ayer	Self
Jack Hogan	Frederick News-Post

All canvassing teams, duplicating team members, and ballot scanner operating team members in attendance are listed under the Canvass Participants section below.

# **DECLARATION OF QUORUM PRESENT**

The meeting of the Board of Canvassers began at 10:00 am on Wednesday, July 27, 2022, at Board of Elections office, 340A Montevue Lane, Frederick, Maryland, Conference Room. There was a quorum of the Board of Canvassers, and at least one member of the Board of Canvassers who is a registered voter of the principal minority party was present.

# **CONFIRMATION OF OATH**

Sandra Dalton, the Clerk of the Court for Frederick County, administered and recorded the oath of each member of the Board of Canvassers on June 22-June 26, 2022.

# ANNOUNCEMENT OF OFFICERS

At a meeting on July 5, the Board of Canvassers elected Mary Lou Green as Chair of the Board of Canvassers, Mary Costello as Vice Chair, and Lawrence Hill as Secretary.

#### PUBLIC NOTICE OF CANVASS

Election Director Barbara Wagner noted that public notice of the mail-in ballot canvass was provided and that the notice was provided on the Frederick County Board of Elections website and at the board office.

# **VERIFICATION OF OPTICAL SCAN VOTING UNIT(S)**

Election Director Wagner presented documents to the Board of Canvassers showing that the ballot scanner being used in the canvass successfully passed Logic and Accuracy Testing. Director Wagner reported that the memory card created for this canvass was placed in the ballot scanner and sealed. Wagner provided the Board of Canvassers with the results from the Logic and Accuracy Testing. She noted the Ballot Scanner Serial Number and Seal Number from the Logic and Accuracy Testing documentation for the DS850 being used in the canvass.

Voting Operations Manager Marc Welch and Voting Systems Team Lead Robert Millard verified that the seal on the DS850 Scanner used in the canvass was intact and recorded the optical scan ballot scanner's serial number and seal number on the Scanning Unit Opening Integrity Report. Election Director Wagner noted that the ballot scanner's serial number and seal number from Logic and Accuracy Testing matched the ballot scanner's serial number and seal number before the canvass began.

The Board of Canvassers confirmed that the count on the ballot scanner was zero. Election Director Wagner posted one copy of the Zero Report from the ballot scanner on the wall in room where canvassing occurred. A second copy of the Zero Report remained attached to the ballot scanner. The Board of Canvassers signed the Zero Report attached to the ballot scanner. Director Wagner also confirmed that the ballot boxes were empty.

# **CANVASSING**

# Overview of Canvass Process

Election Director Wagner explained the rules concerning public observation of the canvass, provided an overview of the canvassing process, and noted that the rules were posted in the canvass room.

Election Director Wagner explained that each provisional ballot application was reviewed prior to canvassing to determine the voter's eligibility to vote in this election and the voter's correct ballot style. At this canvass, the provisional ballot applications will be presented to the Board of Canvassers with a recommendation. The recommendations will be to:

2

Revised: 05/24/2022

- 1. Accept provisional ballot application in full recommend counting all votes cast on the provisional ballot.
- 2. Accept provisional ballot application in part recommend counting some but not all votes cast on the provisional ballot. This is because the voter voted in the wrong precinct.
- 3. Reject provisional ballot application recommend not counting the provisional ballot.

The Board of Canvassers will vote to accept in full, accept in part, or reject the provisional ballots. The provisional ballots for which the Board voted to accept in full or accept in part are distributed to the bi-partisan ballot processing teams to open and review the ballots. The "accepted in full" ballots are scanned into the ballot scanner, and the "accepted in part" ballots are referred to a duplicating team. The duplicating team will copy onto the voter's correct ballot style the votes for the contests for which the provisional voter is eligible to vote.

Wagner explained that to preserve voter privacy, at least five mail-in ballots of each ballot style were held back to be canvassed with the provisional ballots. 706 held back mail-in ballots were included in this canvass.

Election Director Wagner explained that mail-in ballots are distributed to the ballot processing teams in batches. Each team reviews the envelope first to determine whether it was timely received. For timely received ballots, each team determines whether the voter signed the oath. After verifying that the oath is signed, each team opens the envelopes. With the envelope face down, each team removes the ballot, taking care that the envelope stays face down. After removing all of the ballots in the batch, the ballot envelopes are set aside. Each team reviews the ballots for compliance and tabulating acceptability.

Those timely ballots that are in compliance and can be read by the ballot scanner are referred to the Board of Canvassers for acceptance. All other ballots are placed in a plain envelope, with the team number and other information identifying the group or unit to which the ballot belongs, and the reason(s) for the referral to the Board of Canvassers written on the envelope. The envelope with the ballots inside is referred to the Board of Canvassers.

The Board of Canvassers rules on whether to accept or unanimously reject the referred ballots. Election Director Wagner explained the process to provide information to the Board of Canvassers or challenge a decision of the Board.

# Canvass Participants

The members of the ballot processing, duplicating, and ballot scanner operating teams are listed below.

Team	Names of Bi-Partisan Ballot Processing Team Members
Team #1	Lillian Densock (DEM); Melanie Swank (REP)
Team #2	Nancy Harmon (UNA); Roberta Coccagna (REP)
Team #3	Laura Densock (DEM); Gail Boggs (REP)
Team #4	James Gibson (DEM); Mary Ann Phillips (REP)

Revised: 05/24/2022

Team #5	Tiffany Mosby-Grant (DEM); Michael Ferrell (REP)
Team #6	Charlotte Meierhoefer (DEM); Kathy May (REP)
Team #7	Patricia Rhodes (DEM); Faye Glover (UNA)
Team #8	Sherri Mayer (DEM); Helen Dickens (UNA)
Team #9	Michael Rusk (UNA); Sharon Hane (REP)
Team #10	Brenda Bell (DEM); Olubunmi TiJesu (DEM)
Team #11	Shasita Paudel (DEM); Denise Wright (REP)
Team #12	Michael Watterson (UNA); Rhonda Ferrell (REP)
Team #13	Cynthia Mason (DEM); Patricia Cook (REP)

Team	Names of Ballot Scanner Operating Team Members
Team #1	Robert Millard, Russell Schantz, Joe Sim of ES&S Support

# Canvassing of Provisional Ballots

Director Wagner reported that 1,145 provisional ballots were cast. To preserve the secrecy of the ballots, Director Wagner explained that five mail-in ballots of each ballot style were held back for the provisional portion of the canvass and will be counted with the provisional ballots. 397 provisional ballots and 706 mail-in ballots were presented for canvassing during this part of this canvass.

Ms. McDonald made a motion to begin presenting provisional ballot applications. Mr. Woodcock seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

Election Director Wagner presented 314 provisional ballots with the recommendation to accept them in full. The recommendation was based on the fact that staff had confirmed that each provisional application was submitted by a registered voter and was cast in the correct precinct where that voter currently resides. The Board unanimously voted to accept the recommendation and accept and count the provisional ballots in full.

Ms. Wagner presented 2 provisional ballots with the recommendation to accept them in part. The recommendation was based on the fact that staff had confirmed that each provisional application was submitted by a registered voter and the provisional ballot was cast in the wrong precinct. The Board unanimously voted to accept the recommendation, and the ballots were referred to the duplicating team.

# Canvassing of Held-Back Mail-in Ballots

Ms. Wagner distributed to canvassing teams the mail-in ballots reserved for this canvass to preserve the secrecy of the provisional ballots to review for timeliness and signatures. She presented 706 mail-in ballots with the recommendation to accept the mail-in ballots. The Board unanimously voted to accept the recommendation and voted to accept the ballot(s). Ms. Wagner presented 0 mail-in ballots with the recommendation to reject.

#### RELEASE OF UNOFFICIAL RESULTS

Chair Mary Lou Green announced the results from the combined provisional and mail-in canvass, and the separate mail-in canvass. Ms. Green announced the following statistics from the combined provisional and mail-in canvass:

Provisional Canvass Statistics	Number of Ballots
A) Total Ballots Presented in Provisional Part of Canvass	1,062
B) Total Provisional Ballots Presented	356
C) Total Held-back Mail-in Ballots Presented	706
D) Total Accepted Ballots	1062
E) Accepted in Full Provisional Ballots	314
F) Accepted in Part Provisional Ballots	2
G) Accepted Held-back Mail-in Ballots	706
H) Total Rejected Ballots	40
I) Rejected Provisional Ballots	40
J) Rejected Held-back Mail-in Ballots	0

Election Director Wagner announced that provisional voters can find out if their provisional ballots were counted and if not, the reason why it was not counted by visiting the State Board of Elections' website or by calling the State Board at 1-800-222-8683.

### NEXT BOARD OF CANVASSERS' MEETING

The Board of Canvassers will meet on July 28 at 10:00 a.m. to canvass all remaining ballots.

# **BOARD OF CANVASSERS' DECISIONS**

- 10:36a Board accepted Provisional Ballot in full. Incorrect ballot style was selected on ballot marking device. The voter is UNA and only voted on Board of Education contest. Mr. Woodcock moved to accept in full, Ms. Costello seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.
- 10:41a Board accepted Provisional Ballot in full, and ballot was sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same. Mr. Woodcock moved to accept in full, Ms. Costello seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.
- 10:45a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 10:46a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.

Revised: 05/24/2022

- 10:39a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:51a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 10:54a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 10:56a Board accepted Provisional Ballot in full, and ballot was sent for duplication as voted. New registration.
- 10:58a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 10:59a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:00a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:01a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:02a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 11:05a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:09a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 11:13a Board accepted Provisional Ballot in full. Board reviewed due to stray mark.
- 11:15a Ballot was rejected. Voter wrote own name by Vacate.
- 11:17a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 11:22a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:23a Ballot was accepted for duplication with vote for Bickel. Board determined voter's intent was to vote for this candidate.

- 11:26a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:29a Ballot was accepted for duplication as voted. Voter made mark but did not bubble fully.
- 11:30a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:40a Ballot was accepted in full. Ballot was reviewed due to an administrative error.
- 11:42a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:44a Ballot was accepted in full. Voter changed party affiliation but voted correct ballot.
- 11:45a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:46a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:47a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:48a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:49a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:50a Ballot was accepted for duplication without vote for Bowens. Board determined dot was not an intentional vote.
- 11:51a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:52a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:54a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.

- 11:54a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:55a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:56a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:57a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:58a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 11:59a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 12:07p Ballot was accepted for duplication with vote for Perez. Board determined voter's intent was to vote for this candidate.
- 12:08p Ballot was rejected. Voter wrote own name on ballot.
- 12:09p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 12:10p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 12:11p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 12:12p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 12:16p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 12:18p Ballot was accepted in part without county council and ballot was sent for duplication without affected contests. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same except county council.
- 12:18p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.

- 12:20p Board accepted Provisional Ballot in full. New registration.
- 12:22p Ballot was accepted in full and ballot was sent for duplication as voted. Board determined stray marks did not impact vote.
- 12:19p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 12:20p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 12:21p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 12:22p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 12:29p Ballot was accepted for duplication without Gregory. Board determined voter's intent was not to vote for this candidate.
- 12:30p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 12:31p Ballot was accepted in part without county council 5 and ballot was sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same except county council.
- 12:32p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 12:34p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 12:35p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 12:36p Ballot was accepted in part without county council 1 and ballot was sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same except county council.
- 12:37p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.

- 12:40p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Stray mark did not impact vote.
- 12:44p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 12:45p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 2:10p Ballot was accepted in full. A web-delivery ballot in an unsealed envelope with a signed oath was placed in a drop box.
- 2:12p Ballot was rejected. The naked ballot was placed in drop box without envelop; therefore, no signature to verify.
- 2:14p Ballot was rejected. The ballot was placed in drop box without envelop; therefore, no signature to verify.
- 2:15p Twenty ballots from 12-001 were rejected. The ballots were placed in the provisional bag outside of the provisional application envelopes.
- 2:17p Ballot was rejected. The voter marked out name on envelop and tracking number. No signature on envelop.
- 2:19p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Ballot was taped to signed envelop.
- 2:21p Ballot was rejected. It has been shoved in the office door on July 20.
- 2:24p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Ballot was stuck to envelop glue but ballot was not damaged.
- 2:30p Ballot was rejected. Voter moved and also voted in new county.
- 2:31p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 2:32p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 2:33p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 2:35p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.

- 2:36p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 2:38p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 2:39p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 2:40p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Stray mark did not impact vote.
- 2:42p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 2:43p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 2:50p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter did not fill in bubble but board determined marks did indicate vote.
- 2:51p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 2:52p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different but the contests are same.
- 2:53p Ballot was held for cure. There was not an oath.

#### **ATTENDEES**

#### Board of Canvassers:

Name	Position	Party
Mary Costello	Vice Chair	DEM
Lawrence Hill	Secretary	REP
Shirley McDonald	Member	DEM
William Woodcock	Member	REP
Daniel Loftus	Board Attorney	

# Joined at 11:45 am

Mary Lou Green	Chair	REP

# LBE Staff (in person):

Name	Position	
Barbara Wagner	Election Director	
Anthony Gutierrez	Deputy Director	
Marc Welch	Voting Operations Manager	
Theresa Church	Scribe	
Khalfani Blount	Election Program Assistant	
Thomas Coogan	Election Program Manager	
Ian Astheimer	Election Program Assistant	

# Public

Name	Organization (if applicable)
Winston Mann	Self
M.C. Keegan-Ayer	Self

All canvassing teams, duplicating team members, and ballot scanner operating team members in attendance are listed under the Canvass Participants section below.

# RECONVENING THE MEETING

The mail-in ballot canvass reconvened on July 28 at 9:00 am. A quorum of the Board of Canvassers was present.

# PUBLIC NOTICE OF CANVASS and VERIFICATION OF OPTICAL SCAN VOTING UNIT

Election Director Wagner explained the rules concerning public observation of canvass and canvassing process. Voting Operations Manager Marc Welch and Voting Systems Team Lead Robert Millard verified that the seal on the DS850 Scanner used in the canvass was intact and that the seal number matched the seal number attached and that the public count matched the public count recorded before the prior day's canvass recessed.

# **CANVASSING OF BALLOTS**

# Canvass Participants

Canvasser	Names of Ballot Processing Canvassing Teams
Team #1	Lillian Densock (DEM); Nancy Harmon (UNA)
Team #3	Laura Densock (DEM); Gail Boggs (REP)
Team #4	James Gibson (DEM); Mary Ann Phillips (REP)
Team #5	Tiffany Mosby-Grant (DEM); Michael Watterson (UNA)
Team #6	Charlotte Meierhoefer (DEM); Kathy May (REP)
Team #7	Patricia Rhodes (DEM); Faye Glover (UNA)
Team #8	Sherri Mayer (DEM); Helen Dickens (UNA)
Team #9	Michael Rusk (UNA); Sharon Hane (REP)
Team #11	Margaret Osmond (UNA); Melanie Swank (REP)

Team	Names of Bi-Partisan Team Members Opening Envelopes
Team #1	Cynthia Mason (DEM); Patricia Cook (REP)

Team	Names of Ballot Scanner Operating Team	
Team #1	Robert Millard; Russ Schantz; Joe Sim (ES&S Support)	

Several team members switched teams to balance party affiliation. No new canvassers. Canvassing of ballots resumed. The Board of Canvassers ruled on whether to accept or reject any ballots that were referred to the Board.

# **Printing Canvass Results**

After scanning all accepted ballots, Voting Operations Manager Welch and Voting Systems Team Lead Millard locked the ballot scanner and printed an Election Results Report. Election Director Wagner confirmed that the number of accepted ballots equaled the number of ballots counted by the ballot scanner. The Board of Canvassers signed the Voting Results Report and attached it to the Zero Report.

# **DAILY CANVASSING RESULTS**

Mail in Ballot Canvass Statistics	Number of Ballots
Total Ballots Presented	4,164
Accepted Ballots	4,142
Rejected Ballots	17
Ballots held over by the Board of Canvassers (if applicable)	5

#### RECESS

The canvass recessed at 5:00 pm and will reconvene on July 29 at 10:00am. Voting Operations Manager Welch and Voting Systems Team Lead Millard recorded the public count, turned the DS850 Scanner used in the canvass off, and affixed a seal.

# BOARD OF CANVASSERS' DECISIONS

- 9:30a Ballot was rejected. Missing oath was sent to be cured.
- 10:07a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Spoil the duplicate page one.
- 10:12a Ballot was rejected. Missing oath was sent to be cured.
- 10:14a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication without State Senator or House of Delegates. Voter was at different precinct and Ballot style is different.
- 10:26a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:29a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:30a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:31a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:32a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:33a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:34a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:36a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:37a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.

- 10:38a No ballot in envelope. Rejected by Board Action
- 10:42a Ballot accepted in full. Voter claimed different party affliction on provisional envelop but voted correct ballot.
- 10:43a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:44a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:48a Ballot was accepted as marked and sent for duplication without vote for Gallagher and Montgomery. Board determined voter did not intend to vote for these candidates.
- 10:49a Ballot was accepted as marked and sent for duplication. Web-delivered ballot was printed without third page.
- 10:50a Ballot was accepted as marked and sent for duplication. Web-delivered ballot was printed without third page.
- 11:07a Ballot was accepted as marked and sent for duplication with vote for Duckett.
  Ballot was sliced and part of council district 4 contest was lost. Board determined mark was vote for Duckett.
- 11:15a Ballot was accepted as marked and sent for duplication without vote for Duckett. Board determined X over mark indicated the voter did not intend to vote for this candidate.
- 11:30a Ballot was accepted as marked. Board determined stray marks did not affect votes.
- 11:32a Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as marked. Ballot was sliced but no candidates were lost.
- 11:55a Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication without county council 3. Voter was at different precinct and Ballot style is different.
- 11:59a Ballot was accepted as marked and sent for duplication without votes for Valentino and Brooks. Board determined X over marks indicated the voter did not intend to vote for these candidates.

- 11:59a Ballot was accepted as marked and sent for duplication without vote for Gensler. Board determined white out over mark indicated the voter did not intend to vote for this candidate.
- 12:22p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication without vote for comptroller. Board determined mark did not indicate the voter intended to vote for this candidate.
- 12:24p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication without vote for C Rose. Board determined mark did not indicate the voter intended to vote for this candidate.
- 2:01p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as marked.
- 2:04p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as marked. Scanning unit rejected due to light marks.
- 2:05p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication without vote for Baker. Board determined X over mark indicated the voter did not intend to vote for this candidate.
- 2:06p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as marked. Scanning unit rejected due to light marks.
- 2:09p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as marked. Voter had cut the ballot in half. The lower half of the ballot was missing.
- 2:15p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication without vote for Lierman. Board determined stray mark did not indicate vote.
- 2:20p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication without vote for Bowens. Board determined X over mark indicated the voter did not intend to vote for this candidate.
- 2:24p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication without three Board of Education candidates (Fletcher, Montgomery, and Nieves). Board determined initials next to marks indicated the voter did not intend to vote for these candidates.
- 2:28p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as marked. Board determined the checkmark indicated a vote.
- 2:37p Certificate of Assistance was in MIB envelope rather than ballot. Reason 10 rejection.
- 2:39p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication without Perez. Board determined erasure indicated the voter did not intend to vote for this candidate.

2:41p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication without Barrett, Board determined X mark indicated the voter did not intent to vote for this candidate. Ballot was accepted as marked. Board determined partially completed bubbles 2:43p were clearly marked. 2:45p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication as voted. Board determined stray marks did not indicate overvote. 2:46p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication without Boffman. Board determined X over mark indicated the voter did not intend to vote for this candidate. 2:50p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication without Brown. Board determined strikethrough indicated the voter did not intend to vote for this candidate. 2:52p Ballot was accepted in full and sent for duplication as voted. Voter was at different precinct. Ballot style is different, but the contests are same. 3:13p Ballot was sliced and already duplicated. Board determined ballot was duplicated correctly. 3:17p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication as voted. Board determined stray mark did not indicate vote. 3:19p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication as voted. Board determined hesitation mark did not indicate vote. 3:20p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication as voted. Board determined stray marks did not affect votes. Ballot was accepted as marked. Board darkened light oval on ballot. 3:21p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication without US Senate Race. Board 3:23p determined hesitation mark did not indicate vote. Ballot was accepted as marked and sent for duplication. Web-delivered ballot was 3:24pprinted without two pages. Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication as voted. Torn ballot. Board 3:25p determined partially completed bubbles were clearly marked. Ballot was accepted. Board determined oath signature was timely. 3:32p

Ballot was accepted. Board determined timestamp of "PM 8" without minutes was 3:33p acceptable. 3:40p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication without Allen and Angolia. Board determined strikethrough indicated the voter did not intend to vote for these candidates. Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication as voted. Board determined stray 3:43p marks did not indicate overvote. 3:52pBallot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection. 3:55p Ballot was accepted in full and already duplicated. Ballot was sliced but no candidates were lost. 3:56p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication as voted. 4:00pNo ballot in envelope. Rejected by Board Action. 4:01p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication as voted. Cure received. 4:02p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication as voted. Board determined stray marks did not affect vote. 4:04p Ballot was accepted and sent for duplication without Ciliberti. Board determined strikethrough indicated the voter did not intend to vote for this candidate. 4:06p Ballot was held for review when counsel is present on July 29. 4:07p Ballot was accepted in full. Voter appeared to have already voted but did not. 4:20pBallot was accepted and sent for duplication as voted. Board determined writing and stray marks were not identifying marks and did not affect vote. 4:34p Ballot was held for review when counsel is present on July 29. Ballot was held for review when counsel is present on July 29. 4:35p 4:35p Ballot was held for review when counsel is present on July 29. Ballot was held for review when counsel is present on July 29. 4:36p

#### **ATTENDEES**

#### Board of Canvassers:

Name	Position	Party	
Mary Lou Green	Chair	REP	
Mary Costello	Vice Chair	DEM	
Lawrence Hill	Secretary	REP	
Shirley McDonald	Member	DEM	
William Woodcock	Member	REP	
Daniel Loftus	Board Attorney		

LBE Staff (in person):

Name	Position	
Barbara Wagner	Election Director	
Anthony Gutierrez	Deputy Director	
Marc Welch	Voting Operations Manager	
Khalfani Blount	Election Program Assistant	
Thomas Coogan	Election Program Manager	
Ian Astheimer Scribe		

# Public

Name	Organization (if applicable)
Winston Mann	Self
M.C. Keegan-Ayer	Self
Stuart Harvey	Self
Karen Simpson	Self
Kate Mathye	Self

All canvassing teams, duplicating team members, and ballot scanner operating team members in attendance are listed under the Canvass Participants section below.

# RECONVENING THE MEETING

The mail-in ballot canvass reconvened on July 29 at 10:00 am. A quorum of the Board of Canvassers was present.

# PUBLIC NOTICE OF CANVASS and VERIFICATION OF OPTICAL SCAN VOTING UNIT(S)

Election Director Wagner explained the rules concerning public observation of canvass and canvassing process. Voting Operations Manager Marc Welch and Voting Systems Team Lead Robert Millard verified that the seal was intact and that the seal number on the DS850 Scanner used in the canvass matched the seal number attached and that the public count matched the public count recorded before the prior day's canvass recessed.

#### CANVASSING OF BALLOTS

# Canvass Participants

Canvasser	Names of Ballot Processing Canvassing Teams	
Team #1	Lillian Densock (DEM); Melanie Swank (REP)	
Team #3	Laura Densock (DEM); Gail Boggs (REP)	
Team #4	James Gibson (DEM); Sharon Hane (REP)	
Team #5	Tiffany Mosby-Grant (DEM); Michael Watterson (UNA)	
Team #6	Charlotte Meierhoefer (DEM); Kathy May (REP)	
Team #7	Patricia Rhodes (DEM); Faye Glover (UNA)	
Team #8	Sherri Mayer (DEM); Helen Dickens (UNA)	
Team #9	Michael Rusk (UNA); Shasita Paudel (DEM)	
Team #11	Brenda Bell (DEM); Nancy Harmon (UNA)	

Team	Names of Ballot Scanner Operating Team	
Team #1	Robert Millard; Russ Schantz; Joe Sim (ES&S Support)	

Several team members switched teams to balance party affiliation. No new canvassers. Canvassing of ballots resumed. The Board of Canvassers ruled on whether to accept or reject any ballots that were referred to the Board.

# **Printing Canvass Results**

After scanning all accepted ballots, Voting Operations Manager Welch and Voting Systems Team Lead Millard locked the ballot scanner and printed an Election Results Report. Election Director Wagner confirmed that the number of accepted ballots equaled the number of ballots counted by the ballot scanner. The Board of Canvassers signed the Voting Results Report and attached it to the Zero Report.

# DAILY CANVASSING RESULTS

Mail in Ballot Canvass Statistics	Number of Ballots
Total Ballots Presented	2816
Accepted Ballots	2635
Rejected Ballots	181

#### RECESS

The canvass recessed at 4:10 pm and will reconvene on August 1 for certification. Voting Operations Manager Welch and Voting Systems Team Lead Millard recorded the public count, turned the optical scan ballot scanner off, and affixed a seal.

### BOARD OF CANVASSERS' DECISIONS

- 10:10a Ballot accepted and sent for duplication without vote for Peroutka. Board determined X over mark indicated the voter did not intend to vote for this candidate.
- 10:12a No ballot in envelope. Rejected by Board Action
- 10:14a Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as voted. Board determined random marks did not affect the vote.
- 10:14a Ballot accepted and sent for duplication without votes Circuit Court Clerks and Register of Wills. Board determined stray marks did not indicate the voter intended to vote for those candidates.
- 10:20a Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as voted. Board determined X over mark indicated the voter did not intend to vote for this candidate.
- 10:23a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:25a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:27a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:28a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:29a Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 10:31a 15 ballots rejected due to unsigned oaths after multiple attempts to contact the voters.
- 10:32a Brunswick municipal ballot will be sent to Brunswick for counting. Rejected by Board Action
- 10:33a 48 ballots rejected due to untimely postmarks.
- 10:36a Ballot accepted with postmark date of 7/18.

Updated: 06/28/2022

- 10:38a Ballot accepted and sent for duplication without Funderburk. Board determined the hesitation mark did not indicate the voter intended to vote for this candidate.
- 10:40a Ballot accepted and sent for duplication without Ballentino in District 4. Board determined the stray mark did not indicate the voter intended to vote for this candidate.
- 11:02a Ballot rejected. Voter already voted.
- 11:05a Ballot rejected. Voter received the wrong ballot from the state, received a corrected ballot, but voted the original wrong ballot.
- 11:06a Ballot accepted and sent for duplication without Siegrest. Board determined strikethrough indicated the voter did not intend to overvote.
- 11:08a Ballot accepted and sent for duplication without Foldi. Board determined X over mark indicated the voter did not intend to vote for this candidate.
- 11:12a 709 provisional ballots accepted in full. Voters were sent mail-in ballots but voted in person. Mail-in ballots were not returned, so in-person provisional ballots were accepted.
- 11:14a Ballot rejected. No oath.
- 11:23a Ballot accepted and sent for duplication without Trone. Board determined X over mark indicated the voter did not intend to vote for this candidate.
- 11:34a Ballot accepted and sent for duplication without Montgomery in the Board of Education race. Board determined light/erased mark indicated the voter did not intend to vote for this candidate.
- 11:48a Ballot accepted and sent for duplication without Perez. Board determined "disregard" comment indicated the voter did not intend to vote for this candidate.
- 11:49a Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as is. Board determined marks indicated the voter intended to vote for candidates.
- 11:50a Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as is. Board determined stray marks did not affect votes.
- 11:57a Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as is. Wrong ballot style but correct contests.

Updated: 06/28/2022

- 11:58a Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as is. Wrong ballot style but correct contests.
- 12:07p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as is. Wrong ballot style but correct contests.
- 12:12p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication without County Council. Wrong ballot style.
- 12:19p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 12:20p Ballot rejected. Unsigned.
- 12:23p Ballot accepted in full.
- 12:25p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication without Brooks. Board determined strikethrough indicated the voter did not intend to vote for this candidate.
- 12:26p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as is. Wrong ballot style but correct contests.
- 12:28p Ballot rejected. Blank provisional form. No signature or voter information.
- 12:29p Ballot rejected. No proof of residency, no driver's license number.
- 12:30p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as is. Wrong ballot style but correct contests.
- 12:30p Ballot rejected. Incomplete information (no name or license number) on provisional form.
- 12:31p Ballot accepted in full. Provisional envelope was not sealed, but ballot was present.
- 12:32p Ballot accepted as voted. Blank BMD ballot.
- 12:33p Ballot accepted as voted. BMD ballot precinct and ballot style confirmed.
- 12:35p Ballot rejected. Voter voted twice.
- 12:37p Ballot accepted in full. Duplicate ballot was cancelled.
- 12:38p Ballot accepted in full. Duplicate ballot was cancelled.

- 12:40p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication without Council District. Wrong ballot style.
- 12:42p 3 ballots rejected. Mail-in ballots were received in addition to provisional ballots. Reason 2 rejection.
- 12:43p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as is. Wrong ballot style but correct contests.
- 12:44p Ballot put aside for further review. Provisional ballot was issued rather than SDR.
- 12:45p 9 provisional ballots accepted in full. Voters could be identified.
- 12:54p Ballot accepted in full. Original VAC could not be found, but provisional VAC was available and ballot count was correct.
- 12:59p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 12:59p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:00p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:01p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:01p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:02p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:02p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:03p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:03p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.

- 1:03p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:03p Ballot accepted in full. Correct ballot and style.
- 1:04p Ballot accepted in full. Correct ballot and style.
- 1:04p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:05p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:05p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:05p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:06p Ballot accepted in full. Correct ballot and style.
- 1:06p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:06p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:06p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:06p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:07p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:07p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:07p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 1:07p 2 provisional ballots accepted in full. Voters could be identified.

- 2:01p 8 naked provisional ballots from precincts were rejected. Applications were not completed. No signatures.
  2:02p 19 naked ballots from drop boxes were rejected. No oaths or signatures.
  2:06p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party
- affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 2:07p Ballot accepted in full.
- 2:07p Ballot accepted in full. Correct precinct and ballot style.
- 2:09p Ballot accepted in full. Voter was prequalified.
- 2:10p Ballot put aside for further review.
- 2:10p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as is. Wrong ballot style but correct contests.
- 2:12p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication without County Council. Wrong ballot style.
- 2:14p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as is. Wrong ballot style but correct contests.
- 2:15p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as is. Wrong ballot style but correct contests.
- 2:16p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as is. Wrong ballot style but correct contests.
- 2:17p Ballot was rejected. State sent two ballots. First mail-in ballot accepted, second rejected.
- 2:20p Ballot was rejected. State sent two ballots. First mail-in ballot accepted, second rejected.
- 2:21p Ballot was rejected. State sent two ballots. First mail-in ballot accepted, second rejected.
- 2:21p Ballot was rejected. State sent two ballots. First mail-in ballot accepted, second rejected.

- 2:22p Ballot was rejected. Voter requested two ballots. First mail-in ballot accepted, second rejected.
- 2:22p Ballot was rejected. State sent two ballots. First mail-in ballot accepted, second rejected.
- 2:23p Ballot was rejected. State sent two ballots. First mail-in ballot accepted, second rejected.
- 2:23p Ballot was rejected. State sent two ballots. First mail-in ballot accepted, second rejected.
- 2:25p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication without Reid. Board determined the hesitation mark did not indicate the voter intended to vote for this candidate.
- 2:28p Ballot was rejected. Reason 2 rejection.
- 2:29p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as is. "Too late" stamp on envelope did not have a postmark. Oath was signed on 7/18.
- 2:31p Ballot accepted in full. Correct precinct and ballot style.
- 2:49p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as voted. Board determined stray marks did not affect the vote.
- 2:49p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication with Hagen and Brown. Board determined additional marks did not constitute over voting.
- 2:50p 25 ballots were rejected. Voters changed party affiliations and voted ballots for new party affiliations. Reason 3 rejections.
- 2:53p 9 ballots were rejected. Voters changed party affiliations and voted ballots for new party affiliations. Reason 3 rejections.
- 2:53p Ballot was rejected. Voter changed party affiliation and voted ballot for new party affiliation. Reason 3 rejection.
- 2:56p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication with Smith. Board determined additional marks did not affect vote.
- 2:59p Ballot was rejected. Proof of residency not timely received.
- 3:01p Ballot was rejected. No oath.

- 3:02p Ballot was rejected. No oath.
- 3:03p Corrected ballot was issued for duplication.
- 3:08p Ballot was rejected. Not eligible. Reason 5 rejection.
- 3:09p Ballot was rejected. No signature or voter information.
- 3:10p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as is. Wrong ballot style but correct contests.
- 3:11p No ballot in envelope. Rejected by Board Action.
- 3:16p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication without County Council. Wrong ballot style.
- 4:12p Ballot accepted and sent for duplication as is. Wrong ballot style but correct contests.

Updated: 06/28/2022

Frederick County Board of Canvassers 340A Montevue Lane, Frederick, MD August 10, 2022 - Rescanning

## **ATTENDEES**

**Board of Canvassers** 

Name	Position	Party	
Mary Lou Green	Chair	REP	
Mary Costello	Vice Chair	DEM	
Lawrence Hill	Secretary	REP	
Shirley McDonald	Member	DEM	
William Woodcock	Member	REP	
Daniel Loftus	Board Attorney		

LBE Staff (in person)

Name	Position	
Barbara Wagner	Election Director	
Anthony Gutierrez	Deputy Director	
Theresa Church	Scribe	

# **Public**

Name	Organization (if applicable)
Sebastian Brown	Self
Chelsea Kadish	Self
Winston Mann	Self
Thomas Slater	DSCC
Karina Elwood	Washington Post
Dwight Weingarten	Herald-Mail
David Dague	FCCC
Ricky Carioti	Washington Post
Ryan Marshall	Frederick News-Post
Kavonte Duckett	Candidate
Charles Jenkins	Candidate
Lissette Colon	Community Advocate
Kurt Brooks	WUSA-TV
Sea Raven	DiCola campaign
Katina Zentz	Frederick News-Post
Stuart Harvey	Self
Carol Antoniewicz	DiCola campaign
Jazmin DiCola	DiCola campaign
Tiana Massaquoi	DiCola campaign

Frederick County Board of Canvassers 340A Montevue Lane, Frederick, MD August 10, 2022 - Rescanning

### **PARTICIPANTS**

**Ballot Counting and Duplication Teams** 

Team #1	Dawn Sinclair (D), Catherine Comegys (R)
Team #2	Teresa Womble (U), Charlotte Davis (D)
Team #3	Marc Welch (D), Khalfani Blount (R)
Team #4	Tracey Leef (D), Noreen Schultz (R)
Team #5	Toni Beaird (D), Dorothy Keehner (R)
Team #6	Debbie Rusk (R), Christine Winer (D)
Team #7	Tiffany Ferrell (D), Dylan Winer (U)
Team #8	Robert Millard (R), Justin Maddox ()

**Ballot Scanner Operators** 

bunot beamier c	perators
Scanner #1	Randy Clinton
Scanner #2	Ian Astheimer
Scanner #3	Brent Wagner
Scanner #4	Jordan Cipolla
Scanner #5	Shakir Satterfield
Scanner #6	Marcus Maddox
Scanner #7	Akshita Alousyes
Scanner #8	Zsaime Willliams
Scanner #9	Walter Maddox
Scanner #10	Doug Swift
Scanner #11	Jeff White
Scanner #12	Guy Mickley

### Runners

Barbara Wagner, Anthony Gutierrez, Tom Coogan, Val Patterson, Erin Perrone

# DECLARATION OF QUORUM PRESENT

The meeting of the Board of Canvassers began at 8:30 am on Wednesday, August 10, 2022, at Board of Elections office, 340A Montevue Lane, Frederick, Maryland, Training Room 114. There was a quorum of the Board of Canvassers, and at least one member of the Board of Canvassers who is a registered voter of the principal minority party was present.

## **CONFIRMATION OF OATH**

Sandra Dalton, the Clerk of the Court for Frederick County, administered and recorded the oath of each member of the Board of Canvassers on June 22-June 26, 2022.

Frederick County Board of Canvassers 340A Montevue Lane, Frederick, MD August 10, 2022 - Rescanning

### ANNOUNCEMENT OF OFFICERS

At a meeting on June 22, the Board of Canvassers elected Mary Lou Green as Chair of the Board of Canvassers, Mary Costello as Vice Chair, and Lawrence Hill as Secretary.

#### PUBLIC NOTICE OF RESCAN

Election Director Barbara Wagner noted that public notice of the Rescan was provided on Board website and through a press release.

## VERIFICATION OF OPTICAL SCAN VOTING UNITS

Election Director Wagner presented documents to the Board of Canvassers showing that the ballot scanners being used in the canvass successfully passed Logic and Accuracy Testing on August 8. Wagner reported that the memory sticks created for this canvass were placed in the ballot scanner and sealed. She provided the Board of Canvassers with the results from the Logic and Accuracy Testing. Wagner noted the Ballot Scanner Serial Number and Seal Number from the Logic and Accuracy Testing documentation for each ballot scanner being used in the canvass.

Howard County Election Director Guy Mickley and Howard County staff member Jeff White verified that the seal on the ballot scanner was intact and recorded the optical scan ballot scanners' serial numbers and seal numbers on the Scanning Unit Opening Integrity Report. Wagner noted that the ballot scanners' serial numbers and seal numbers from Logic and Accuracy Testing matched the ballot scanners' serial numbers and seal numbers before the canvass began.

## **OVERVIEW OF RESCAN PROCESS**

Election Director Wagner explained that during the preparation for the requested recount of the County Council District 3 Democratic Primary election contest a discrepancy between the total number of votes in the certified results and the number of accepted mail-in and provisional ballots was found. Out of an abundance of caution, the decision was made to rescan all mail-in and provisional ballots. A reconciliation of all in-person early voting centers and all election day precincts showed that all numbers for those days were accurate; therefore, a rescan of the early voting and election day in-person ballots was determined to not be necessary.

During the reconciliation of election day precincts, four provisional ballots were found that had not been included in the previous count due to an election judge error. These will be presented to the board during the provisional rescan portion.

Wagner explained the rules concerning public observation of the rescan. She provided an overview of the process and noted that the rules were posted in the canvass room. She also explained the process to provide information to the Board of Canvassers or challenge a decision of the Board.

Frederick County Board of Canvassers 340A Montevue Lane, Frederick, MD August 10, 2022 - Rescanning

Vice chair Mary Costello moved to approve the rules for this meeting. Board member Shirley McDonald seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

Wagner further explained that with the assistance of the Maryland State Board of Elections staff and other local board of elections staff, the Frederick County Board of Elections staff will do a full review of the canvass process, identify the issue, report the findings to the Board of Elections, and develop new processes to ensure this issue does not happen again, as well as make any other improvements before the November General Election.

Wagner requested that the board chair entertain a motion to decertify the Frederick County 2022 Primary election results to allow for a rescan of the mail-in and provisional ballots cast in this election, then upon completion of this rescan, to recertify the results to the State Board of Elections, as well as immediately release the results to the public. Secretary Lawrence Hill made the motion, Ms. Costello seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

### MAIL-IN BALLOT 1 RESCAN

The Board of Canvassers confirmed that the counts on the ballot scanners were zero and signed the Zero Reports. Director Wagner posted one copy of the Zero Report from each ballot scanner on wall in hall to room where canvassing occurred. The second copy of the Zero Report remained with the board. Director Wagner also confirmed that the ballot boxes were empty.

Board member William Woodcock made the motion to begin opening secured ballot bins and count the ballots for rescanning. Ms. Costello seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

At 11:11 am, Director Wagner announced that the rescan of mail-in ballot 1 was completed with 8,599 ballots scanned. This matched the expected number. She requested a motion to close the ballot scanners with the MIB1 results. Ms. Costello made the motion to close the scanners, Ms. McDonald seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

The ballot scanner operators followed procedures to close the ballot scanners and print the results. The board signed a copy of each result tape which was posted above the zero report for each ballot scanner. The scanned ballots were secured. The scanning unit memory sticks were taken by Maryland Board of Elections staff member Paula Paschall to be read.

## PROVISIONAL RESCAN

Mr. Woodcock made the motion to open the provisional rescan. Ms. Costello seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

The Board of Canvassers confirmed that the counts on the ballot scanners were zero and signed the Zero Reports. Election Director Wagner posted one copy of the Zero Report from each ballot

Frederick County Board of Canvassers 340A Montevue Lane, Frederick, MD August 10, 2022 - Rescanning

scanner on wall in hall to room where canvassing occurred. The second copy of the Zero Report remained with the board. Director Wagner also confirmed that the ballot boxes were empty.

Ms. McDonald made the motion to open the provisional ballots for rescan. Ms. Costello seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

At 12:35 pm, Director Wagner announced that the rescan of provisionals was completed with 1,063 provisional ballots scanned. This matched the expected number plus the three newly accepted provisional ballots. She requested a motion to close the ballot scanners with the provisional results. Ms. Costello made the motion to close the scanners, Ms. McDonald seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

The ballot scanner operators followed procedures to close the ballot scanners and print the results. The board signed a copy of each result tape which was posted above the zero report for each ballot scanner. A second signed copy remained with the board. The scanned ballots were secured. The scanning unit memory sticks were taken by Maryland Board of Elections staff member Paula Paschall to be read.

## MAIL-IN BALLOT 2 RESCAN

The meeting reconvened at 1:39 pm. Mr. Woodcock made the motion to begin the rescan of the MIB 2 rescan.

Ms. McDonald made the motion to begin opening secured ballot bins and count the ballots for rescanning. Ms. Costello seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

The Board of Canvassers confirmed that the counts on the ballot scanners were zero and signed the Zero Reports. Director Wagner posted one copy of the Zero Report from each ballot scanner on wall in hall to room where canvassing occurred. The second copy of the Zero Report remained with the board. Wagner also confirmed that the ballot boxes were empty.

At 4:20 pm, Director Wagner announced that the rescan of MIB 2 was completed with 6,913 ballots as expected. She requested a motion to close the ballot scanners with the MIB 2 results. Ms. McDonald made the motion to close the scanners, Mr. Hill seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

The ballot scanner operators followed procedures to close the ballot scanners and print the results. The board signed a copy of each result tape which was posted above the zero report for each ballot scanner. A second signed copy remained with the board. The scanned ballots were secured. The scanning unit memory sticks were taken by Maryland Board of Elections staff member Paula Paschall to be read.

The total number of ballots scanned was 16,575.

### RESCAN RESULTS

Rescanning Statistics - MIB 1	Number of Ballots
Total Ballots Presented	8,599
Accepted Ballots	8,599
Rejected Ballots	0
Ballots held over by the Board of Canvassers	0

Rescanning Statistics - Provisional	Number of Ballots
Total Ballots Presented	1,064
Accepted Ballots	1,063
Rejected Ballots	1
Ballots held over by the Board of Canvassers	0

Rescanning Statistics - MIB 2	Number of Ballots
Total Ballots Presented	6,913
Accepted Ballots	6,913
Rejected Ballots	0
Ballots held over by the Board of Canvassers	0

## **PROOFING**

Anthony Gutierrez and Thomas Coogan proofed the EL45 report against the post 002 report.

### CERTIFICATION

At 8:00 pm, Director Wagner presented the final reports for signatures and certification. Chair Mary Lou Green and Secretary Larry Hill signed fives copies of the official report for certification. Ms. Green officially certified the Primary Election at 8:10 pm.

## BOARD OF CANVASSERS' DECISIONS

- 9:10a Board approved ballot rejected by ballot scanner for duplication as voted. Ballot style Dem 53.
- 9:20a Board approved ballot rejected by ballot scanner for duplication as voted. Ballot style Rep 35.
- 9:43a Board approved ballot rejected by ballot scanner for duplication without vote for Kris Fair. Board determined that stray mark was not an intentional vote. Ballot style Dem 08.
- 10:03a Board approved ballot rejected by ballot scanner for duplication as voted. Ballot style Rep 27.

Frederick County Board of Canvassers 340A Montevue Lane, Frederick, MD August 10, 2022 - Rescanning

- 10:16a Board approved ballot rejected by ballot scanner for duplication without vote for Rutherford. Board determined that stray mark was not an intentional vote. Ballot style Rep 21.
- 10:28a Board approved ballot rejected by ballot scanner for duplication as voted. Ballot style Dem 74.
- 10:50a Board approved ballot rejected by ballot scanner for duplication as voted. Ballot style Rep 40.
- 12:10p During the reconciliation of election day precincts, four provisional ballots were found that had not been included in the previous count. These four provisional ballots from precinct 21-002/008 were not counted due to human error by the precinct provisional judge. Wagner recommended to the board to accept three ballots and reject one. Board accepted three provisional ballots in full. One ballot was rejected using rejection code 2 due to the voter previously returning a mail-in ballot which had been counted. Two of the accepted ballots were ballot style Dem 64 in County Council District 3. They were marked to be processed with the ballots eligible for the potential recount.
- 12:21p Board approved ballot rejected by ballot scanner for duplication as voted. Ballot style Dem 36.
- 1:50p Board approved ballot rejected by ballot scanner for duplication as voted. Ballot style Dem 16.
- 2:00p Board approved ballot rejected by ballot scanner for duplication as voted. Ballot style Dem 16.
- 2:20p Board approved ballot rejected by ballot scanner for duplication as voted. Ballot style Rep 10.
- 2:30p Board approved ballot rejected by ballot scanner for duplication as voted. Ballot style Rep 61.

# **ATTENDEES**

# **Board of Canvassers**

Name	Position	Party	
Mary Lou Green	Chair	REP	
Mary Costello	Vice Chair	DEM	
Lawrence Hill	Secretary	REP	
Shirley McDonald	Member	DEM	
William Woodcock	Member	REP	
Daniel Loftus	Board Attorney		

LBE Staff (in person)

Name	Position
Barbara Wagner	Election Director
Anthony Gutierrez	Deputy Director
Theresa Church	Scribe

# **Public**

Name	Organization (if applicable)
Stuart Harvey	Self
Mary Ann Ford	Di Cola campaign
Carol Antoniewicz	Di Cola campaign
Ben MacShane	Di Cola campaign
Winston Mann	Self
Deborah Carter	FCDSCC
Mikayla Newton	DC News Now
Flor Garay	Di Cola campaign
Sea Raven	Di Cola campaign
Ric Dugan	Frederick News-Post
Jazmin Di Cola	Candidate
Jillian Atelsek	Frederick News-Post
Katie Nash	Self
Josh Bokee	Self
Mari Lee	FCDSCC
M. C. Keegan-Ayers	Candidate
Skyler Sales	DC News Now

### **PARTICIPANTS**

## **Ballot Recount Teams**

Team	Dawn Sinclair (D), Catherine Comegys (R)
Brunswick	Charlotte Davis (D), Teresa Womble (U)
Team	Monica Fields (D), Zsaime Williams (R)
Thurmont	Randy Clinton (D), Jordan Cipolla (Other: Bull Moose)
Team	Vernetta Madden (D), Doug Swift (U)
Middletown	Val Patterson (D), Dylan Winer (U)
Team	Tiffany Ferrell (D), Lisa Lance (U)
New Market	John Michael Gudyear (D), Akshita Alysous (U)
Team	Toni Beaird (D), Isaac Nogureon (U)
Walkersville	Teresa Morningstar (R), Carl Dayley (U)
Team	Barry Jackson (U), Dorothy Keehner (R)
Woodsboro	Nathan Yessler (U), Khalfani Blount (R)

**Floor Managers** 

Barbara Wagner, Guy Mickley	

### Runners

Anthony Gutierrez, Tom Coogan , Erin Perrone
--

### Tally

Marc Welch, Ian Astheimer

# **DECLARATION OF QUORUM PRESENT**

The meeting of the Board of Canvassers began at 9:00 am on Tuesday, August 16, 2022, at Board of Elections office, 340A Montevue Lane, Frederick, Maryland, Training Room 114. There was a quorum of the Board of Canvassers, and at least one member of the Board of Canvassers who is a registered voter of the principal minority party was present.

## **CONFIRMATION OF OATH**

Sandra Dalton, the Clerk of the Court for Frederick County, administered and recorded the oath of each member of the Board of Canvassers on June 22-June 26, 2022.

### ANNOUNCEMENT OF OFFICERS

At a meeting on June 22, the Board of Canvassers elected Mary Lou Green as Chair of the Board of Canvassers, Mary Costello as Vice Chair, and Lawrence Hill as Secretary.

#### PUBLIC NOTICE OF RESCAN

Election Director Barbara Wagner noted that public notice of the Recount was provided on Board website and posted at the Board of Elections office.

## **OVERVIEW OF RECOUNT PROCESS**

Election Director Barbara Wagner explained the process for the recount for County Council District 3. The recount will be a full manual count of all votes using both the tally and sort process. The tally will be updated on the overhead screen. All flagged ballots will be reviewed immediately.

Wagner introduced the Board of Canvassers. She also thanked the staff from Howard, Carroll and Washington counties.

Wagner explained the rules concerning public observation of the recount. She provided an overview of the process and noted that the rules were posted in the canvass room. She also explained the process to provide information to the Board of Canvassers or challenge a decision of the Board.

### RECOUNT OF COUNTY COUNCIL DISTRICT 3 BALLOTS

Vice Chair Mary Costello made the motion to begin the recount. Member Shirley McDonald seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

# RECOUNT RESULTS

A total of 5,047 ballots were presented and verified in the recount of the Democratic Primary for Frederick County Council District 3.

Recount Results	Number of Ballots
DiCola	2,298
Keegan-Ayer	2,297

#### CERTIFICATION OF RECOUNT

At 3:00 pm, Director Wagner presented the final reports for signatures and certification. The Board of Canvassers, upon being duly organized, canvassed the election recount results from the Gubernatorial Primary – Democratic Primary County Council 3 held on Tuesday, August 16, 2022, in the county. The Board of Canvassers reports that the results are accurate and that the vote has been verified. The board certified that the whole number of votes cast in the county were as follows for the contest indicated on the Contest Tally Sheet.

Member William Woodcock made the motion that the recount be closed. Ms. McDonald seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

## RECOUNT TRACKING

Bin	Batch ID	Team
1	EV Batch 1	Middletown
1	EV Batch 2	Brunswick
1	EV Batch 3	Woodsboro
1	EV Batch 4	New Market
1	EV Batch 5	Thurmont
1	EV Batch 6	Walkersville
1	EV Batch 7	New Market
1	EV Batch 8	Middletown
1	EV Batch 9	Thurmont
1	EV Batch 10	Woodsboro
1	EV Batch 11	Walkersville
1	EV Batch 12	Brunswick
2	21-2 Batch 1	New Market
2	21-2 Batch 2	Woodsboro
2	21-2 Batch 3	Brunswick
2	21-2 Batch 4	Walkersville
2	21-3 Batch 1	Middletown
2	21-3 Batch 2	Thurmont
2	21-4 Batch 1	Woodsboro
2	21-4 Batch 2	New Market
2	21-4 Batch 3	Walkersville
2	21-4 Batch 4	Thurmont
2	2-17 Batch 1	Middletown
2	2-17 Batch 2	Walkersville

2       23-2 Batch 1       New Market         2       24-2 Batch 1       Brunswick         2       24-5 Batch 1       Walkersville         3       2-2 Batch 1       New Market         3       2-2 Batch 2       Woodsboro         3       2-4 Batch 1       Thurmont         3       2-4 Batch 2       Middletown         3       2-4 Batch 3       Brunswick         3       2-4 Batch 4       Woodsboro         3       2-5 Batch 5       Walkersville         3       2-5 Batch 4       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 4       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 5       Woodsboro         3       2-5 Batch 5       Woodsboro         3       2-5 Batch 6       Middletown	
2       24-5 Batch 1       Walkersville         3       2-2 Batch 1       New Market         3       2-2 Batch 2       Woodsboro         3       2-4 Batch 1       Thurmont         3       2-4 Batch 2       Middletown         3       2-4 Batch 3       Brunswick         3       2-4 Batch 4       Woodsboro         3       2-5 Batch 5       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 2       Walkersville         3       2-5 Batch 3       Middletown         3       2-5 Batch 4       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 5       Woodsboro	
3       2-2 Batch 1       New Market         3       2-2 Batch 2       Woodsboro         3       2-4 Batch 1       Thurmont         3       2-4 Batch 2       Middletown         3       2-4 Batch 3       Brunswick         3       2-4 Batch 4       Woodsboro         3       2-4 Batch 5       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 1       Thurmont         3       2-5 Batch 2       Walkersville         3       2-5 Batch 3       Middletown         3       2-5 Batch 4       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 5       Woodsboro	
3       2-2 Batch 2       Woodsboro         3       2-4 Batch 1       Thurmont         3       2-4 Batch 2       Middletown         3       2-4 Batch 3       Brunswick         3       2-4 Batch 4       Woodsboro         3       2-4 Batch 5       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 1       Thurmont         3       2-5 Batch 2       Walkersville         3       2-5 Batch 3       Middletown         3       2-5 Batch 4       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 5       Woodsboro	
3       2-4 Batch 1       Thurmont         3       2-4 Batch 2       Middletown         3       2-4 Batch 3       Brunswick         3       2-4 Batch 4       Woodsboro         3       2-4 Batch 5       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 1       Thurmont         3       2-5 Batch 2       Walkersville         3       2-5 Batch 3       Middletown         3       2-5 Batch 4       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 5       Woodsboro	
3       2-4 Batch 2       Middletown         3       2-4 Batch 3       Brunswick         3       2-4 Batch 4       Woodsboro         3       2-4 Batch 5       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 1       Thurmont         3       2-5 Batch 2       Walkersville         3       2-5 Batch 3       Middletown         3       2-5 Batch 4       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 5       Woodsboro	
3       2-4 Batch 3       Brunswick         3       2-4 Batch 4       Woodsboro         3       2-4 Batch 5       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 1       Thurmont         3       2-5 Batch 2       Walkersville         3       2-5 Batch 3       Middletown         3       2-5 Batch 4       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 5       Woodsboro	
3       2-4 Batch 4       Woodsboro         3       2-4 Batch 5       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 1       Thurmont         3       2-5 Batch 2       Walkersville         3       2-5 Batch 3       Middletown         3       2-5 Batch 4       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 5       Woodsboro	
3       2-4 Batch 5       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 1       Thurmont         3       2-5 Batch 2       Walkersville         3       2-5 Batch 3       Middletown         3       2-5 Batch 4       New Market         3       2-5 Batch 5       Woodsboro	
3 2-5 Batch 1 Thurmont 3 2-5 Batch 2 Walkersville 3 2-5 Batch 3 Middletown 3 2-5 Batch 4 New Market 3 2-5 Batch 5 Woodsboro	
3 2-5 Batch 2 Walkersville 3 2-5 Batch 3 Middletown 3 2-5 Batch 4 New Market 3 2-5 Batch 5 Woodsboro	
3 2-5 Batch 3 Middletown 3 2-5 Batch 4 New Market 3 2-5 Batch 5 Woodsboro	
3 2-5 Batch 4 New Market 3 2-5 Batch 5 Woodsboro	
3 2-5 Batch 5 Woodsboro	
OF ANY SHALL	
3 2-5 Batch 6 Middletown	
20) State (Manager Conference Con	
3 2-5 Batch 7 New Market	
3 2-5 Batch 8 Middletown	
3 2-5 Batch 9 Woodsboro	
3 2-8 Batch 1 Walkersville	
3 2-8 Batch 2 Thurmont	
3 2-8 Batch 3 Brunswick	
3 2-8 Batch 4 Walkersville	
3 2-10 Batch 1 Thurmont	
3 2-10 Batch 2 Walkersville	
3 2-10 Batch 3 Middletown	
3 2-10 Batch 4 Thurmont	
3 2-10 Batch 5 Woodsboro	
3 2-13 Batch 1 Brunswick	
3 2-13 Batch 2 New Market	
3 2-13 Batch 3 Walkersville	
3 2-13 Batch 4 Middletown	
3 2-5 Batch 10 Thurmont	
5 MIB1 – 1 Middletown	
5 MIB1 – 2 Walkersville	
5 MIB1 – 3 New Market	
5 MIB1 – 4 Woodsboro	
5 MIB1 – 5 Thurmont	
5 MIB1 – 6 Brunswick	
5 MIB1 – 7 Walkersville	
5 MIB1 – 8 Middletown	
5 MIB1 – 9 Thurmont	
5 MIB1 – 10 New Market	

5	MIB1 – 11	Woodsboro
5	MIB1 – 12	Brunswick
5	MIB1 - 13	Walkersville
5	MIB1 - 14	Middletown
5	MIB1 - 15	New Market
5	MIB1 - 16	Thurmont
5	MIB1 - 17	Woodsboro
5	MIB1 – 17	Middletown
5	MIB1 - 18	Walkersville
5	(7-1280) (4-20) (1-20)	New Market
	MIB1 – 20	
5	MIB1 – 21	Thurmont
5	MIB1 – 22	Woodsboro
5	MIB1 – 23	Brunswick
5	MIB1 – 24	Walkersville
5	MIB1 – 25	Middletown
5	MIB1 – 26	New Market
5	MIB1 – 27	Woodsboro
5	MIB1 – 28	Thurmont
5	MIB1 – 29	Brunswick
5	MIB1 – 30	Walkersville
4	Prov 1	Woodsboro
4	Prov 2	New Market
4	Prov 3	Middletown
Recount	MIB1 – 1	New Market
Recount	MIB1-2	Thurmont
Recount	MIB1 – 3	Walkersville
Recount	MIB1-4	Brunswick
Recount	MIB1-5	Woodsboro
Recount	MIB1-6	Middletown
Recount	MIB1 - 7	Woodsboro
Recount	MIB1 – 8	Thurmont
Recount	MIB1 – 9	Middletown
Recount	MIB1 – 10	Brunswick
Recount	MIB1 – 11	Walkersville
Recount	MIB1 – 12	Woodsboro
Recount	MIB1 – 13	New Market
Recount	MIB1 – 14	Woodsboro
Recount	MIB1 – 15	Thurmont
Recount	MIB1 – 16	Middletown
Recount	MIB1 – 17	Walkersville
Recount	MIB1 – 18	Brunswick
Recount	MIB1 – 19	New Market
Recount	MIB1 – 20	Thurmont
Recount Recount Recount Recount Recount Recount Recount Recount	MIB1 – 12 MIB1 – 13 MIB1 – 14 MIB1 – 15 MIB1 – 16 MIB1 – 17 MIB1 – 18 MIB1 – 19	Woodsboro New Market Woodsboro Thurmont Middletown Walkersville Brunswick New Market

Recount	MIB1 – 21	Walkersville
Recount	MIB1 – 22	Middletown
Recount	MIB1 – 23	New Market
Recount	MIB1 – 24	Woodsboro
Recount	MIB1 – 25	Thurmont
Recount	MIB1 – 26	Brunswick
Recount	MIB1 – 27	Middletown
Recount	MIB1 – 28	New Market
Recount	MIB1 – 29	Walkersville
Recount	MIB1 - 30	New Market
6	MIB2 – 10-1	Middletown
6	MIB2 - 10-2	Brunswick
6	MIB2 - 10-3	New Market
6	MIB2 – 10-4	Woodsboro
6	MIB2 – 10-5	Thurmont
6	MIB2 – 10-6	Walkersville
6	MIB2 – 10-7	Woodsboro
6	MIB2 – 11-1	New Market
6	MIB2 – 11-2	Middletown
6	MIB2 – 11-3	Thurmont
6	MIB2 – 11-4	Brunswick
6	MIB2 – 11-5	Walkersville
6	MIB2 – 11-6	Woodsboro
6	MIB2 – 11-7	Thurmont
6	MIB2 – 12-1	Middletown
6	MIB2 - 12-2	New Market
6	MIB2 – 12-3	Walkersville
6	MIB2 – 12-4	Thurmont
6	MIB2 – 12-5	Woodsboro
6	MIB2 – 12-6	Brunswick

At 9:31 am, Wagner announced that the recount of Early Voting ballots has been completed.

At 11:0a am, Wagner announced that the recount of Election Day ballots has been completed.

At 11:50 am, Wagner announced that the recount of Mail-In Ballot 1 has been completed.

At 11:58 am, Wagner announced that the recount of Provisional ballots has been completed.

At 1:00 pm, Wagner announced that the teams will recount the MIB1 ballots due to a discrepancy in the count of one. In an abundance of caution, every batch in MIB1 will be recounted by a different team.

At 1:51 pm, Wagner announced that the miscount was found in the last batch. All counts are confirmed as correct.

At 2:28 pm, Wagner announced that the recount of Mail-In Ballot 2 has been completed and that the recount has been completed. There were no changes to the results.

#### BOARD OF CANVASSERS' DECISIONS

- 9:41a Board accepted vote for Keegan-Ayer. Board reviewed ballot due to unusual mark. Board determined mark was consistent with other marks on ballot and indicated voter intent to vote for Keegan-Ayer. Bin 3, Precinct 21-3, Batch 2, Team Woodsboro.
- 10:04 Board accepted vote for Di Cola. Board reviewed ballot due to unusual mark. Board determined mark was consistent with other marks on ballot and indicated voter intent to vote for Di Cola. Bin 3, Precinct 2-4, Batch 3, Team Thurmont.
- 10:14a Rep ballot was removed from batch count. Bin 3, Precinct 2-5, Batch 5, Team Woodsboro.
- 10:20a Rep ballot was removed from batch count. Bin 3, Precinct 2-5, Batch 7, Team New Market.
- 10:24a Board accepted vote for Keegan-Ayer. Board reviewed ballot due to unusual mark. Board determined mark was consistent with other marks on ballot and indicated voter intent to vote for Keegan-Ayer. Bin 3, Precinct 2-5, Batch 9, Team Woodsboro.
- 1:59p Board accepted vote for Keegan-Ayer. Board reviewed ballot due to unusual mark. Board determined mark was consistent with other marks on ballot and indicated voter intent to vote for Keegan-Ayer. Bin 3, MIB2 10-6, Team Walkersville.